No. U 015002-5

PATENT

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Shashikant PRASAD, et al.

Serial No.:

10/763,403

Group No.:

2883

Filed: January 22, 2004

Examiner:

R. Lepisto

For:

DISPERSION OPTIMIZED FIBER HAVING HIGHER SPOT AREA

Mail Stop AF **Commissioner for Patents** P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE **EXAMINING GROUP** 2883

NOTE: To take advantage of the expedited procedure the envelope in which this paper is mailed must be addressed as shown and must also be marked "Box AF" in the lower left hand comer. Alternatively, this paper can be hand carried to the particular Examining Group or other area of the Office in which the application is pending, in which case any envelope in which this paper is place must be marked as in the bold type box above. Notice of September 20, 1985 (1059 O.G. 20-21).

AMENDMENT OR RESPONSE AFTER FINAL REJECTION-FIRST PAGE

In response to the final action of October 30, 2006, please amend the above

application as follows:				
v. <u> </u>	CERTIFICATION UND (When using Express Mail, the Exp Express Mail cer	press Mail label	number is mandatory;	
l hereb	by certify that, on the date shown below, this correspond	ndence is being:		
_	MAILING deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.			
×				
	37 C.F.R. 1.8(a)		37 C.F.R. 1.10*	
×	with sufficient postage as first class mail.		as "Express Mail Post Office to Address" Mailing Label No. (mandatory)	
	TRANS	SMISSION		
	transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office to (571)-273-8300			
		Signat	Signature	
Date:	<u>January 23, 2007</u>		am R. Evans or print name of person certifying)	

*WARNING:

Each paper or fee filed by "Express Mail" must have the number of the "Express Mail" mailing label placed thereon prior to mailing. 37 C.F.R. 1.10(b).

"Since the filing of correspondence under § 1.10 without the Express Mail mailing label thereon is an oversight that can be avoided by the exercise of reasonable care, requests for waiver of this requirement will not be granted on petition." Notice of Oct. 24, 1996, 60 Fed. Reg. 56,439, at 56,442.